Rubric for Assessment Reports

Department/Program/Unit: Click here to enter text.

Date: Click here to enter a date.

1. Department/Program Mission, Vision, Values, or Goals:				
Comments: Click here to enter text.				
2. Brief Description of	Depa	rtment/Program Improveme	ents and/or Changes	
	Streng	ths, Challenges, Suggestions:		
The department presents	Click h	ere to enter text.		
a brief summary of				
purposeful changes				
resulting from previous				
assessment activities.				
3. Program Learning Outcomes (PLOs)				
		Beginning	Developing	Proficient
Aligned with Mission, Vision,	,	☐ Outcomes are not aligned with	☐ Outcomes are somewhat	☐ Outcomes are consistently
Values, or Goals?		mission, vision, values, or	aligned with mission, vision,	and clearly aligned with
		goals.	values, or goals.	mission, vision, values, or
				goals.
	&	\square Outcomes are not written in	☐ Outcomes are mostly written	\square Outcomes are written in
Measurable/Observable		learning outcome language	in learning outcome language	learning outcome language
		and are not measurable /		•
		observable.	measurable / observable.	observable.
_		mission, vision, values, or goals. Outcomes are not written in learning outcome language	aligned with mission, vision, values, or goals.	and clearly aligned with mission, vision, values, or goals.

Clarity	Outcomes do not clearly define intended student learning in these areas: knowledge skills/abilities dispositions	Outcomes somewhat clearly define intended student learning in these areas: knowledge skills/abilities dispositions	Outcomes clearly define intended student learning in these areas: knowledge skills/abilities dispositions
Utility	☐ Scope and detail of outcomes do not clearly reflect what is at the core of the program.	☐ Scope and detail of outcomes somewhat reflect what is at the core of the program.	☐ Scope and detail of outcomes clearly reflect what is at the core of the program.
Comments:Click here to enter text.			
4. National/Professional Sta	andards		
•	Beginning	Developing	Proficient
PLOs are aligned with Professional Standards? Not applicable/unknown Yes then answer	☐ Learning outcomes are not linked to national / professional standards.	☐ Learning outcomes are partially linked to national / professional standards.	☐ Learning outcomes are strongly linked to national / professional standards.
Comments: Click here to enter text.			
5. Curriculum Map:			
	Strengths, Challenges, Suggestions	:	
Curriculum Map represents a thoughtful ALIGNMENT between PLOs and Student Learning Experiences (courses, labs, internships, etc.)	Click here to enter text.		
Curriculum Map is detailed enough to provide USEFUL information that leads to ideas for improvement	Click here to enter text.		

6. Assessment Methods and Measures:			
	Beginning	Developing	Proficient
PLOs measured	□ None / Few	☐ Some	☐ Most / All
Usefulness	☐ Methods and measures do not measure PLOs or are not useful.	☐ Methods and measures align to only some PLOs and/or may be too general to guide improvements to the program.	☐ Methods and measures are appropriate to the PLOs and specific enough to guide improvements to the program.
Direct Measures of Student Learning (portfolios, rubrics, embedded assignments, lab reports, etc.)	☐ No or limited use of Direct Measures.	☐ Direct Measures are identified, but appear to have limited application to program assessment.	☐ Direct Measures are identified, and have application to program assessment.
Indirect Measures (surveys, interviews, etc.)	☐ No or limited use of indirect measures, or they do not target a wide range of constituents (students, alumni, employers, supervisors).	☐ Some use of indirect measures targeting constituent groups (students, alumni, employers, supervisors).	☐ Extensive use of indirect measures targeting at least two constituent groups (students, alumni, employers, supervisors).
Comments:	Click here to enter text.		
Assessment Timeline:	Strengths, Challenges, Suggestions:		
Measures all PLOs during 5-year cycle; appropriate (reasonable, efficient, meaningful); schedule is clear and specific; responsibilities clearly defined and shared.	Click here to enter text.		

7. Assessment Results / Findings / Interpretation:				
	Beginning	Developing	Proficient	
Results/Findings	☐ Little to no evidence from assessment results/findings presented, or not linked to PLOs.	☐ Some evidence presented, but not detailed/meaningful enough to inform improvements; linkage to PLOs is somewhat evident.	☐ Extensive presentation of evidence in meaningful and useful ways; evidence is clearly linked to PLOs.	
Standards / Performance Criteria	☐ Standards / performance criteria are not explained, or are not connected to interpretation.	☐ Standards / performance criteria are discussed, but not clearly applied to interpret findings.	☐ Standards / performance criteria are stated clearly and used to interpret findings.	
Interpretation / Conclusions	☐ Little or no interpretation of findings; or no conclusions presented.	☐ Partial interpretation of findings; some conclusions presented.	☐ Thorough interpretation / meaningful conclusions presented.	
Comments: Click here to enter text.				
0.0:				
8. Dissemination of Findings				
The program has a clear plan regarding dissemination of results; includes who will be involved, when and how and with whom information will be shared, etc.	Click here to enter text.	i		

9. Implications: Process for Improvement			
Use of results to improve instructional delivery or curriculum; realistic and reasonable plans	☐ Report includes little to no discussion of how assessment results will be used.	☐ Report includes some discussion for how the results will be used by the department, but these suggestions are not detailed or realistic.	☐ Report includes extensive discussion for the application of findings to make improvements; plans are realistic.
Consideration of resource use and allocation teaching, learning, and curricular issues.	☐ Report includes little to no discussion of resources.	☐ Report includes some discussion of resources.	☐ Report includes extensive discussion of resources.
Comments: Click here to enter text.			
10. Reflections on the Department	ertment Assessment Process		
Comments on the successes and challenges faced during the assessment process; perceptions about what worked well, what could be improved; ideas for future.	Strengths, Challenges, Suggestions: Click here to enter text.		
Suggestions for, and support needed from, the Assessment Subcommittee.	Click here to enter text.		
Additional Comments:Click here to	enter text.		

Next steps		
Next report to the Assessment Subcommittee:		
Five-Year Assessment Report	Date:	
Abbreviated Interim Report	Date:	
Consultation with Assessment Coordinator	Date:	
Consultation with Assessment Liaison	Date: as needed	
Explanation: The key to successful academic prog	ram assessment means doing the following:	
✓ Clearly defining program learning outcom	es for students	
✓ Utilizing direct assessment measures to assess achievement of specific student learning outcomes		
✓ Analyzing the data collected through direct measures of student learning		
✓ Interpreting the data and explaining the c	hanges/improvements the department plans to make based on assessment	
results		